

INSL 6390: Evaluation for Instructional Leaders-ONLINE Fall 2017 ONLINE- 7 ¹/₂ week course

Course Number is a required course for a Master of Education Degree in Instructional Leadership.

College of Education Department of Educational Leadership

College of Education, Department of DEPARTMENT NAME

 PROFESSOR:
 Dr. Barbara Polnick TEC 319

 P.O. Box 3119/SHSU

 Huntsville, Texas 77341

 Phone:
 936.520.7762 (Cell); 936.294.3859 (Office); 936.294.3886 (FAX)

 Email:
 bpolnick@shsu.edu

Course Format: This 7 ½ week course is delivered online. Lessons will include a combined approach of discussions, outside reading, learning reflections, field-based projects, Web-based research, and online presentations to achieve the objectives of the course. Brain-based learning theories will be implemented, including the use of a variety of learning styles.

Day and time the class meets: Online October 18, 2017 – December 7, 2017– Dr. Polnick will post announcements once per week.

Location of class: Online

Course Description: In this course, graduate students will gain a comprehensive knowledge of different types and forms of evaluation and their application to educational improvement. In addition, students learn how to analyze data from a variety of forms of assessments to inform instructional decisions. The course includes a comprehensive study of the design, validity/reliability, bias, and use of assessment instruments often associated with educational improvement.

Credit 3 (Graduate Catalog Descriptor).

Using an interactive online format and data from scenarios and real-world applications, participants will become adept in the basic tenets of assessment and evaluation as well as the role of the instructional leader in implementing these in an educational setting. Emphasis is placed on improving instruction and learning through applying assessment and evaluation findings using a variety of assessment and evaluation tools. The curricula for this course includes the knowledge of the literature, ongoing student engagement in research and appropriate professional practice, and classroom dialogue.



Materials & Texts

Popham, W. J. (2010). *Everything school leaders need to know about assessment*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.* Required

Supporting Resources:

- Brown, G., & Irby, B. (1998). *Principal portfolio*. (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc. ISBN 978-0-7619-7700-1
- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American* psychological association (6th ed.). Washington, D.C: American Psychological Association.

Popham, W.J. (2006). Assessment for educational leaders. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

- Reynolds, C.R., Livingston, R.B., & Willson, V. (2006). *Measurement and assessment in education*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Kaufman, R., Guerra, I., & Platt, W.A. (2006). *Practical evaluation for educators*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Morris, M., & Clark, B. (2013). You want me to do what? Evaluators and the pressure to misrepresent findings. *American Journal of Evaluation*, *34*(1), 57-70. doi:10.1177/1098214012457237
- Watkins, R., West Meiers, M., & Visser, Y. (2012). A guide to assessing needs: Tools for collecting information, making decisions, and achieving development results.
 Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from <u>www.needsassessment.org</u>

Research-based articles and videos will also be used to support learning.

TK20 Account required for this course. Tk20 is an electronic toolkit used by candidates to provide evidence that they have mastered state and professional standards for the profession. Account information can be found at: https://tk20.shsu.edu/

Technology Resources:

Students are **required** to have access to a reliable High Speed Internet connection and be familiar with basic Microsoft software programs including Word and PowerPoint. You will be **required** to submit papers using Microsoft Word 2.8 or higher.

You must have a SHSU Email Account (not to be forwarded to other email) [see <u>http://www.shsu.edu/~ucs_www/</u>] and be able to access SHSU Blackboard to participate in this class. To successfully complete this course, students must actively use SHSU Blackboard <u>minimally three times a week.</u> Failure to actively use SHSU Blackboard for any one-week period will result in the student being dropped from the class or failed if after drop deadline.

It is *recommended* that students purchase a webcam for live (synchronous) discussions and/or Skype conferences with the instructor for additional interaction and support.

Technical Problems?

For technical problems with Blackboard, please refer to contact information below: **Email:** blackboard@shsu.edu



Phone: 936.294.2780 **Toll-free:** 877.759.2232

Hours of Operation:

Mon. - Fri. 7 a.m. - Midnight (Email/Phone); Mon.-Fri. 8 a.m. - 6 p.m. Sat. 7 a.m. - Midnight (Email/Phone)

About Your Course Professor:

My philosophy in educational administration is best exemplified in the work and service in which I engage. I strongly believe that our schools need dedicated administrators who are focused on continuous school improvement. With our changing demographics, budgetary challenges, and limited resources, today's administrators need to offer courageous, innovative approaches to meet the obstacles and barriers many students face. There is no greater resource on a campus or in a district than the teachers. School and district leaders should focus their time and energy on helping teachers improve to meet the challenges of today's classroom.

Student Evaluation of Instructor Effectiveness (IDEA System)

Students will be asked to evaluate the instructor's teaching effectiveness related to course objectives and general effective teaching practices at the end of the course using the IDEA instrument. Students will be invited to provide feedback during the course which will be used to make needed adjustments to ensure the success of the learning environment. Students will be asked to evaluate their learning in these specific objectives selected from the IDEA database: In this course, our focus will be on these major objectives (as assessed by the IDEA course evaluation system):

IDEA – Under <u>Basic Cognitive Background</u>

Objective 1. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends in becoming an instructional leader.

- □ Apply a framework for continuous improvement to school organizations and individuals in order to promote student success.
- Connecting facts, understanding relationships
- Identify and utilize four critical elements in understanding and making decisions about Teaching and Learning.

IDEA – Under <u>Application of Learning</u>

Objective 2. Applying what you have learned in this course

- □ Recognize the importance of utilizing systems thinking to make decisions.
- □ Understand the role of an instructional leader in the system.
- □ Apply a framework for continuous improvement to school organizations and individuals in order to promote student success.

IDEA – Under Intellectual Development

Objective 3. Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural views and perspectives.

- Critically evaluating ideas, arguments, and points of view. Using higher level thinking skills in analysis and review of data from Data Packet and scenarios presented in course.
- □ Applying what you are learning in this course to clarify thinking or solve problems when consulting teachers and staff



Instructional Goals:

- Analyze current issues and controversies in learner assessment and evaluation (including bias, instructional sensitivity, etc.).
- Analyze multiple sources of data from assessments to identify improvement needs.
- Apply the core concepts of educational evaluation.
- Apply oral and written communication skills to communicate evaluation data to • stakeholders.
- Explore educational policy related to assessment and evaluation.
- Analyze and apply national assessment standards to educational improvement efforts.
- Apply the fundamentals of design, validity/reliability, and utilization of assessment instruments.

Course Content/Competencies:

Competency 1: Describe the function of assessment and evaluation on the contemporary instructional setting.

Historical contributors to U.S. assessment and evaluation design

Explore the evaluation of National Standards (Common Core) and state expectations (Texas Essential Knowledge & Skills

Explore evaluation and assessment constructs and theories

Competency 2: Analyze assessment and evaluation measures and their impact on improving student performance

Identify strengths and areas of concern in student performance (for all students and by subgroups) on a campus

Analyze state and national data to determine areas of need and strength for the purpose of program improvement.

Competency 5: Organize the instructional program to increase student achievement.

Analyze role of leadership and design specific strategies to improve the use of evaluations. Describe effective assessments with attention to objective alignment related to cognition and content of the objective (Anderson & Krathwold)

Competency 4: Analyze assessment practice

Describe important components of effective assessment practices for an instructional setting.

Describe assessment practices used to measure student learning of curriculum. Analyze assessment components of a current program assessment process and identify strengths and areas of concern.

Describe the role of leadership in the improvement of effective assessment.

Course Requirements / Expectations:

- Students will participate fully in online class discussions.
- Students will assume responsibility for their own success in this class.
- No make-up assignments will be given for missed class participation or discussions; points will be deducted from participation grade and/or assignments that are turned in late. THIS **IS STRICTLY ENFORCED!**



- All exercises will be completed in a timely manner, which is necessary for meaningful participation and contribution in class.
- Students will visit the library at SHSU online and Google Scholar to supplement their readings on the various methodologies and will share these accounts during class discussions.
- Students will make postings in SHSU Blackboard discussions as assigned. These postings should be related to what you are learning in the course or what we have discussed, along with your own personal school experiences. References to the texts and outside reading is also expected. Responses to others should be in-depth, relevant, contain additional questions for thought and critical discourse. See rubric in Appendix B for expectations for Blackboard Discussion postings.
- Assignments are due by **11:59 pm** on the due date and will be considered late if emailed to the professor or posted to Blackboard after the due date. No make-up work will be given for missed assignments. Points will be deducted for late work. Use SHSU Blackboard to send all assignments (unless otherwise specified).
- Please complete the student information profile (last page of syllabus). Be sure to upload this page to its corresponding Dropbox on SHSU Blackboard.

PLEASE submit all documents through Blackboard. All uploaded documents <u>must have the</u> student's last name, course number and section number, and assignment reference in the document label (i.e. Smith.EDAD6390.01.Reflection1).

Student/Instructor Interaction/Communication Objectives:

- Display a personal interest in students and their learning
- Assist students in ways to find answers to their own questions
- Provide feedback regarding academic performance
- Clarify course material
- Share ideas about the course content
- Provide timely and frequent feedback
- Provide student-faculty interaction via email, phone calls, etc.

Please adhere to proper protocol. Any questions or concerns relating to this course should be addressed with the instructor through email or telephone first. Unless it is an emergency, I will respond to you within **48 hours**.

Assignments

Readings: Each week you will have assigned readings from your textbook. From time to time, I will also include **featured articles** for the week.

Presentations: PowerPoint/Audio or video-enhanced presentation will be used to enhance the readings and clarify key points. Occasionally, links to websites will be included in the presentations or in the module to provide access to resources that will enhance and assist you in applying the content. Please allow time to review these. Note: if hyperlinks in the slide show do not work, copy and paste the link into your browser.



Assessments

A. Blackboard/Hot Topic Discussions

Students will actively participate in online class discussions. Students will make postings in the SHSU Blackboard Discussion Board in a timely manner. These postings will be related to what you are learning in the course, along with your own personal school experiences. References to the text and outside reading is also expected. Responses to others should be in-depth, relevant, contain additional questions for thought and critical discourse. See rubric in Appendix B for rubric/expectations for Blackboard Discussion postings.

B. Field-based Activities

The purpose of the field-based activities is to give our candidates an inside look at issues that currently impact the decisions of school leaders. Reflecting on these activities supports the design of comprehensive professional growth plans by focusing on leadership skills needed for meeting personal goals. See matrix below for descriptions of Embedded Activities (EA) #s 21 and 22.

21. Examine assessment and evaluation policy in a district or educational organization by exploring web-based or other documents (assessment calendars, handbooks, etc.). Write a reflection over:

a) number and types of assessments implemented;

b) 1-sentence description of each type of assessment; and

c) purpose of each assessment (how they are used in the district or educational organization and their relevancy to improving or supporting instruction)

22. Students will explore personality assessments by actually participating in an assessment of their choosing. Assessment resources through the SHSU Career Center such as the <u>Newly Revised</u> <u>Strong Interest Inventory® (SSI)</u> and the <u>Myers-Briggs Type Indicator® (MBTI)</u> career assessments at no charge. The link for this center is: <u>http://www.shsu.edu/dept/career-services/students/assessments.html</u>

You may also use one of your own choosing. A discussion about what you learned from your assessment will also occur.

Format for Reflections over Field-based Activities

Reflections may follow the *Brown & Irby Reflection Cycle* (See Appendix C) or may be 2-3 paragraphs answering the prompts in the activity descriptors.

NOTE: Upload to BlackBoard under *Field-based Activities*. After submitting reflections, please save them in a folder labeled Standard 1 for your TK20 internship syllabus/portfolio completed during your final course (Internship), INSL 6372.

C. Hot Topic Presentation

Students will create an 8-10 slide presentation over a "hot (current) topic" on the subject of assessment and/or evaluation that is of interest and/or relevance to them. Presentation should include ideas of strategies and activities for assessing learning. Presentation may utilize any number of presentation program formats, including: PowerPoint, PowToons, Google Docs Drive Alternative, Prezi, 280 Presentation Slides. Include references cited in the slides, also.



D. Learning Reflections

Each student will submit learning reflections weekly at the end of each unit of study. Reflections will be reviewed by your instructor periodically with comments. The reflections should consist of experiences, thoughts, opinions, and attitudes as they apply towards your learning as it relates to the use of assessments and evaluation tools. Prompts will be provided for the reflections. Reflections will be scored upon quality as students move through the course. Improvement in terms of depth and complexity as well as writing skills is expected. All information will be kept confidential.

E. Exams

Students will complete an exam over content presented in class and assigned readings. The exam will be open book (i.e., you can use your book and notes) but you still must learn the material first because the exam is timed. You will only have 60 minutes to complete the exam online, which means that you will not have time to read the material for the first time while taking the examinations.

F. Assessment Critique

Individually or as a group (2-3 people), students will apply assessment principles by critiquing different types assessments (criterion-referenced, norm-referenced, attitude, etc.). Interactions will take place in a Collaborative Discussion Board to work collaboratively on the questions/prompts. Using a rubric for the written paper, students will submit work individually and will be scored based on both their work and the quality/quantity of their contributions to the discussion.

<u>Assessment Critique</u>: Select a Criterion-referenced or Norm-referrencedTest currently being used in an educational setting to critique. Include the following in your critique:

- 1. Name of test
- 2. Describe how it is currently being used in an educational setting
- 3. Discuss the degree to which you feel the use of the assessment is valid, including the kind of evidence of validity (including alignment) that exists. Support your responses with examples.
- 4. Make recommendations for improving the use of the assessment in making instructional decisions based on standards and research presented in class.

Evaluation

Note: The professor reserves the right to alter course requirements and/or the class schedule to better address the learning needs of the students.

Assessments	Points	% of
		Grade
Discussion Board-Chapters (5 @ 10 pts. each)	50	16
Hot Topic Presentation	20	6
Hot Topic Discussions (8 @ 10 pts. each)	80	26



A = 279-310; B = 248-278; C = 217-247; F = Below 217		approx
Total Points		100*
Field-based Activities/Reflections (2 @ 20 pts. each)	40	13
Quizzes (2 @ 10 pts. Each)	20	6
Assessment Plan For Improvement (20)	20	6
Assessment Critique (20 pts.)	20	6
Learning Reflections (6 entries @ 10 pts. each)	60	19

Definition of expected quality levels:

A grade of "A" represents *exceptional* work and clearly represents graduate level performance! **[Exceeds Standards].** Reflections have detailed responses. Written work consists of correct grammar & spelling. Analysis and reflection paper follows assigned reflection format. Discussions are thoughtful and follow rubric guidelines. All assignments are turned in on time. Student demonstrates learning beyond the course and stated expectations. Learners who extend learning beyond the minimum presented in class and demonstrate developed written and verbal communication skills earn "A" work. Note: A student must complete ALL assignment in order to make an A, even if the total points are in the A range.

A grade of **"B"** represents *expected* work! [Meets Standards and demonstrates mastery of objectives assessed] Reflections have appropriate expected responses. Written work consists of correct grammar & spelling. Assignments requiring the assigned reflection format are included in presentation of reflection papers and some discussions. Most discussions provide interactions with classmates. Discussions are thoughtful and follow rubric guidelines. The majority of assignments are turned in on time. Learners who demonstrate responsibility by meeting all deadlines, attending class, completing homework assignments, and earn passing grades on assessments earn "B" work. Most graduate students demonstrate proficiency related to the course objectives and earn Bs. A "B" represents acceptable performance.

A grade of "C" represents *below expectation* work! [Failure to meet Standards]. Reflections (s) lack detailed responses. Written work consists of misspellings, poor use of grammar and punctuation. Papers and some written assignments are poorly organized and don't follow the required formats described in syllabus. Discussions are missing, short, or inappropriate. Work overall is poorly done, submitted late, or non existent. Course objectives will be assessed using discussions, research activities, course activities, and written assignments. A grade lower than C is not acceptable at the graduate level. Students will have to retake the course if grade falls below a C.

Student Guidelines

University Policies

• SHSU Academic Policy Manual-Students



- o Procedures in Cases of Academic Dishonesty #810213
- o <u>Students with Disabilities #811006</u>
- o Student Absences on Religious Holy Days #861001
- o <u>Academic Grievance Procedures for Students #900823</u>
- SHSU Academic Policy Manual-Curriculum and Instruction
 - <u>Use of Telephones and Text Messagers in Academic Classrooms and Facilities</u> <u>#100728</u>
 - <u>Technology during instruction: The use of instructional technology is expected</u> <u>during class unless otherwise designated.</u>
 - <u>Technology during exams: Limitations of use of technology during exams will be</u> <u>posted in exam instructions.</u>
 - <u>Technology in emergencies: Students should notify their instructor regarding issues</u> of emergencies.
- Visitors in the Classroom- Only registered students may attend class. Exceptions can be made on a case-by-case basis by the professor. In all cases, visitors must not present a disruption to the class by their attendance.

Attendance Expectations:

Students are expected to contribute weekly to the online discussion board as the chosen format for class lectures. In addition, students will: read course notes and assigned book chapters; participate in discussion board assignments; and complete course assignments including required embedded field-based activities.

Late Assignment Policy: All course work is due on the date specified. Late work will not be accepted or awarded credit, unless the student and the professor have made an agreement regarding an unusual circumstance.

Course Expectations

Participants are expected to participate in each unit of study online. Effective use of time on task is key to successful completion of the course. Class discussions are important in order for the class members to learn together. You have much to offer to this experience, and we learn from each other.

Written work should:

- be clear, well organized, and concise.
- be free from grammatical and spelling errors.
- be typed (12 point) and double spaced with 1 inch margins on left and right, with name, date, course, and assignment #. Paginate with page number in upper right hand corner.
- demonstrate a thorough analysis.
- include supporting evidence from course readings and additional resources (citations must be provided).
- adhere to the conventions delineated in the **6th Edition** of the APA Manual, including the use of bias-free language.



Format for citing work (and references) can be found in APA, 6th edition. For a review of Academic Writing & APA, 6th edition (style manual used in College of Education), sentence, paragraph, pre-writing, APA and helpful sites Review: http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/, citing references: http://www.lib.usm.edu/research/guides/apa.html APA, 6th Edition.

Caution: Plagiarism is not tolerated at SHSU. This means that all work that is not originally yours, must be cited (whether paraphrased or quoted).

College of Education Information

Accreditation

The programs within the SHSU College of Education have the distinction of receiving accreditation and national recognition from multiple accrediting bodies. All educator certification programs, including teaching and professional certifications, have received ongoing accreditation from the Texas Education Agency (TEA). Additionally, the educator preparation program has been accredited by the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP-formerly NCATE) since 1954. Many of the educator preparation concentration areas have also chosen to pursue national recognition from their respective Specialized Professional Associations (SPA), signifying the program is among the best in the nation. The programs within the Department of Counselor Education have also received accreditation from the Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs (CACREP).

Course and Program Evaluation

Near the end of the semester, students are asked to take part in the University's adopted course evaluation system, IDEA. The assessments are completed online and instructions are emailed to each student. Students' assessments of courses are taken are systematically reviewed by the Dean, Associate Deans, Department Chairs, and individual faculty members. Only after the semester has completed are faculty members allowed to view aggregated results of non-personally-identifiable student responses.

The College of Education conducts ongoing research regarding the effectiveness of the programs. Students receive one survey in the final semester prior to graduation regarding the operations of the unit during their time here. A second survey occurs within one year following completion of a program, and is sent to students and their employers. This survey requests information related to students' quality of preparation while at SHSU. Students' responses to these surveys are critical to maintaining SHSU's programs' excellence.

INSL 6390 Course Outline—Fall 2017 N OTE: Assignments and due dates may be altered to meet the needs of the students.			
Date	Learning Modules	Торіс	Assignments—Due before midnight on the final day of the unit unless announced otherwise
10/18-	Unit 1 & 2	Topic(s): Introductions	Discussion Board 1: Class
10/24		 Introductory Video 	Introductions- Due 10/19



		 Blackboard Orientation Course Organization PPT Student Information Profile Class Introductions Syllabus Topic(s): Role of assessment, Role of educational leaders Reading Popham- Chapter 1 Hot Topic Assignments	 (orig. response) & 10/22 (responses to posts) Email Student Information Profile to <u>bpolnick@shsu.edu</u> Read Popham, Chapter 1 Discussion Board 2, Chapter 1 Popham- Due 10/19 (orig. response) & 10/22 (responses to posts) Begin working on Field-based Activity # 21: Assessments in Schools/Instructional Settings (Brown & Irby Reflection Format)-Due 10/22 Read Popham, Chapter 2
10/25- 10/31	Unit 3 & 4	Topic(s): Reliability <u>Reading</u> Popham, Chapter 3 <u>Practice Assignment</u> Reliability Scenarios	Learning Reflection 1 Hot Topics 1 & 2-Discussion Read Popham, Chapter 3- Reliability Discussion Board 3- Reliability- Due 10/26 (orig. response) & 10/29 (responses to posts) Field-based #21-due 10/29 (Begin working on Assessment Critique 1—Due 3/1)
11/1-11/7	Unit 5 & 6	Topic(s): Assessment Bias <u>Readings</u> Popham, Chapter 4 Test Blueprint article Topic(s): Instructional Sensitivity	Learning Reflection 2 Hot Topics 3&4-Discussion Due 11/2 (orig. response) & 11/5 (responses to posts) Hot Topics 5&6- Discussion Due 11/2 (orig. response) &



			11/5 (responses to posts)
		Reading Popham, Chapter 5	Assessment Critique –11/5
		Practice Assignment STAAR/EOC Blueprints	
11/8-11/14	Unit 7 & 8	Topic(s): Accountability Assessments; Test Blueprint	Learning Reflection 3-due 11/12
		Reading Popham, Chapter 6 Test Blueprint article	Hot Topics 7&8- Discussion Due 11/9(orig. response) & 11/12 (responses to posts)
		Topic(s): Assessing Affect Attitude/Affect Measures	Quiz # 1-due 11/12
		Reading	Learning Reflection 4-due 11/12
		Popham, Chapter 9	Hot Topics 9&10- Discussion Due 11/9(orig. response) & 11/12 (responses to posts)
11/15- 11/21	Unit 9 & 10	Topic(s): Personality/Career/Leadership Assessment Topic(s): Rubrics National Assessment Standards Reading Popham, Chapter 7	Discussion Board 4-Affective AssessmentsBegin Field-based Embedded Activity 22: Class- Personality/Career Assessment-Due 11/19Note: Discussion over Leadership Assessment-Due 11/19Learning Reflection 5Hot Topics 11&12- Discussion Due 11/16(orig. response) & 11/19 (responses to posts)
11/22- 11/28	Unit 11 & 12	Topic(s): Using Formative Assessments to Improve Teaching & Learning	Hot Topics 13&14- Discussion Due 11/23(orig. response) & 11/26 (responses to posts)
	1	Reading	



		Popham, Chapter 8 Topic(s): Assessing Performance Performance/Portfolio Assessment	Field-based Embedded Activity 22: Personality/Leadership Assessment due 11/26 Hot Topics 15&16- Discussion Due 11/23(orig. response) & 11/26 (responses to posts) Quiz #2 due 11/26
11/29-12/5	Unit 13 & 14	Topic(s): Assessments for Instruction and Program Improvement Comparative Data Using Data Topic: Pulling it all together- Final Performance Event	Discussion Board 5 – Due 11/30 (orig. response) & 12/3 (responses to posts)Assessment Plan for Improvement Due 12/3Zoom class (select 1 of 3 dates: 11/27, 11/28, 11/29)Learning Reflection 6 Due 12/3