



TESL 4303- 03 Teaching English as a Second Language Fall 2017

TESL 4303 is a required course for 4 - 8 Certification.

College of Education

Department of Language, Literacy and Special Populations

Instructor: Clara Lobo-Guerrero de Saba
P.O. Box 2119/ SHSU
Huntsville, Texas 77341
TEC 121
Office Phone number: 936-294-4635
chl006@shsu.edu

Office hours: Monday: 8:00 – 9:00 and 12:00 – 1:00 (Huntsville)
Wednesday: 12:00 – 1:00 (The Woodlands Center)

Virtual office hours: Tuesday: 8:00 – 9:30 pm
Thursday: 9:00 – 10:30 pm

Day and time the class meets: August 8 - 14, 11:00 – 12:50; and weekly online assignments throughout the semester.

Location of class: TWC 256

Course Description: The course identifies current instructional methods and approaches to teaching English as a second language to nonnative speakers of English beginning at the early childhood level through adult. Principles and concepts of second language learning, linguistic contrasts between English and other languages, and the instructional processes are emphasized. Field experience in PK-12 schools required. Prerequisite: BESL 2301, BESL 3301. Credit 3.

Textbooks: Reiss, J. (2012). *120 Content strategies for English language learners: Teaching for academic success secondary school*. (2nd ed.) Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

IDEA Objectives: In this course, our focus will be on these major objectives (as assessed by the IDEA course evaluation system):

Essential: Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course.

Important: Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends); Learning to *apply* course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions).

A **matrix** that aligns course objectives, activities, assessments, and standards can be viewed at the end of this document (after College of Education information)

Course Format: This course is taken in conjunction with student teaching. Therefore the bulk of the course will involve online assignments. We will be meeting face-to-face at the beginning of the semester only. The format for learning and interaction may include lecture, reading, researching online, independent work, and presentations.

Course Content: Students will demonstrate understanding, knowledge, and skills related to the following:

- ❖ Second language acquisition theories
- ❖ The processes of first and second language acquisition
- ❖ Social and cultural factors which affect second language acquisition
- ❖ Developing receptive and productive language skills
- ❖ Organizing and developing curriculum which facilitate language learning

- ❖ Designing activities which support and enhance language learning
- ❖ Understanding current research in the field of ESL

FORMAT FOR COURSE DOCUMENTS: All assignments outside of class must be computer-generated, double-spaced, use a 12 point Arial or Times New Roman font, APA style and **proofread to be error free**. Have your name on the top right corner of the first page.

Course Outline

1. **Assigned readings, weekly course activities and participation.** Because this course involves very few face-to-face meetings, it is critical that you stay current with readings and assignments. **All discussion questions and assignments will be submitted via Blackboard.**
2. **Assignment questions/activities.** The purpose of these questions/activities is to insure that you are completing the assigned readings. Weekly questions or activities are on Blackboard. The questions or activities will relate to the assigned reading for the week. You will have until Sunday at 11:00 pm to complete and send them. Each set of questions/activities is worth 30 pts.
3. **Journal Article Reaction papers.** The purpose of this activity is to get you reading professional journals. Students will select an article of their choice from a professional journal in the field of second language acquisition and/or the teaching of English as a second language. The reaction paper should be approximately two, double-spaced pages in length. The paper should include a very short summary of the article (about one paragraph), followed by your reaction to the article. Please use APA format when citing the article. Two journal reaction papers are required. At least **one** must relate to the particular content area you are teaching (ie. math or social studies). The following journals are great resources: *TESOL Quarterly*, *Essential Teacher*, *Teaching PreK-8*, *Language Magazine*, *ESL Magazine Online*, *Bilingual Research Journal*, *The Internet TESL Journal*, and *Language Learning and Technology*. You may also use journals in your content area such as *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*; however, the article must focus on the teaching of that content area to ELLs. Each journal reaction paper is worth 100 pts.
4. **Picture file collection.** The purpose of the picture file collection is to provide you with a resource that can be used to encourage the oral language and vocabulary development of English language learners. The collection should consist of at least 20 high quality pictures for use in teaching concepts. There should be no or very limited text on the pictures. The pictures should be organized by content area or theme. Each picture should also include a description of how you would use each one. The picture file is worth 100 pts.
5. **Modified lesson plans.** The purpose of this assignment is to give you practice designing instruction for English language learners. You will take TWO lesson plans you have written and executed **during student teaching** and modify the lessons for English language learners. Please carefully review the lesson plans and make appropriate modifications in **RED in each section** of the lesson plan. Be sure to include a language objective in RED for each lesson plan. The set of two lesson plans is worth 100 pts.

Take home exam. The final exam will consist of a set of 7 – 10 short-answer questions. The take home exam is worth 100 pts.

Course Evaluation:

Discussion questions/activities	30 pts. each x 10 =	300 pts.
Modified lesson plans (2)		100 pts.
Journal article reaction papers	100 pts. each x 2 =	200 pts.
Picture file		100 pts.
Exam		<u>100 pts.</u>
		800 pts.

Evaluation Scale	740 - 800	93-100	A
	676 - 739	85-92	B
	612 - 675	77-84	C
	556 - 611	70-76	D
	Below 611	Below 70	F

CLASS SCHEDULE

Date	Topic	Reading assigned	Assignments due
Tuesday, August 8	Introduction to the class; Understanding language		
Wednesday, August 9	Language theories/concepts	Ch. 1	
Thursday, August 10	Cultural considerations	Ch. 2	
Friday, August 11	Strategies for ELLs (1)		Article review 1
Monday, August 14	Strategies for ELLs (2)		
Tuesday, August 15	No class		
August 23 - 25	Choosing objectives and standards	Ch. 3	Discussion questions/activity
August 28 – September 1	Learning strategies	Ch. 4	Discussion questions/activity
September 5 - 8	Background knowledge	Ch. 5	Discussion question/activity
September 11- 15			Article Review 2
September 18 - 22	Teaching new material	Ch. 6	Discussion questions/activity
September 25 – 29	Checking comprehension	Ch. 7	Discussion questions/activity
October 2 - 6	Vocabulary strategies	Ch. 8	Discussion questions/activity
October 9 -13	Reading strategies	Ch. 9	Discussion questions/activities
October 16 – 20			Picture file
October 25	Face-to-face: TExES ESL Exam review		
October 30 – November 3	Reinforcing learning	Ch. 10	Discussion questions/activities
November 6 - 10	Assessing instruction	Ch. 11	Discussion questions/activity
November 13 – 17			Modified lesson plans
November 22 – 24	Thanksgiving Holiday		
November 27 – December 1	High stakes tests	Ch. 12	Discussion questions/activities
December 4 - 7	Take home exam		Take home exam

BLACKBOARD: The schedule and syllabus is subject to change, please check Blackboard Announcements regularly for any updated information.

Course Requirements:

LATE ASSIGNMENT POLICY: Please pay special attention to observe the due dates for each of the assignments. If you are aware of problems or special situations **BEFORE** the due dates, ask your classmate to submit for you or contact the professor for special arrangements. **If your work is submitted later than the day specified, the following points are deducted from the assignment:**

1. Up to 24 hours - 5% of the total assessed points are deducted.
2. 25-72 hours - 10% of the total assessed points are deducted.
3. By the next assignment - 15% of the total points assessed are deducted.
4. Any other late assignment may not be accepted (each case is handled separately).

TIME REQUIREMENT: For each hour in class, you will be expected to commit at least three hours outside of class. It is expected that if you enroll in this course, you can meet the time requirements.

PROFESSIONALISM POLICY: Since you are studying to be educational role models, you will be expected to display professional behavior in all aspects during class time.

Cell Phone Policy:

The use by students of electronic devices that perform the function of a telephone or text messenger during class-time is prohibited. Arrangements for handling potential emergency situations may be granted at the discretion of the instructor. Failure to comply with the instructor's policy could result in expulsion from the classroom or with multiple offenses, failure of the course. Any use of a telephone or text messenger or any device that performs these functions during a test period is prohibited. These devices should not be present during a test or should be stored securely in such a way that they cannot be seen or used by the student. Even the visible presence of such a device during the test period will result in a zero for that test. Use of these devices during a test is considered de facto evidence of cheating and could result in a charge of academic dishonesty (see student code of conduct

<http://www.shsu.edu/students/guide/StudentGuidelines2010-2012.pdf#page=29>).

Student Syllabus Guidelines

- SHSU Academic Policy Manual -- Students
 - [Procedures in Cases of Academic Dishonesty #810213](#)
 - [Disabled Student Policy #811006](#)
 - [Student Absences on Religious Holy Days #861001](#)
 - [Academic Grievance Procedures for Students # 900823](#)
- SHSU Academic Policy Manual – Curriculum and Instruction
 - [Use of Telephones and Text Messagers in Academic Classrooms and Facilities #100728](#)
- Visitors in the classroom - Only registered students may attend class. Exceptions can be made on a case-by-case basis by the professor. In all cases, visitors must not present a disruption to the class by their attendance

NCATE Accreditation

The Sam Houston State University, College of Education has the distinction of NCATE accreditation since 1954. As an NCATE accredited program, the College of Education ensures that the best-prepared teachers will be in classrooms teaching the next generation of leaders how to solve problems, communicate effectively, and work collaboratively.

In November 2010, NCATE merged with the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC) to become the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP), combining the two premiere accrediting organizations as a single accrediting agency for reform, innovation, and research in educator preparation. SHSU will continue to be NCATE accredited through its next review scheduled for November 2015.

[NCATE Standards](#)

[CAEP Standards](#)

The Conceptual Framework and Model

[The COE Conceptual Framework](#) establishes the shared vision of the college in preparing educators to work with P-12 students through programs dedicated to collaboration in instruction, field experience, and research. The candidates in Sam Houston State University's Educator Preparation Programs acquire the knowledge, dispositions, and skills necessary to create a positive learning environment preparing educators to work with P-12 students. Employing a variety of technologies, candidates learn to plan, implement, assess, and modify instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners. The Conceptual Framework (CF) incorporates five (5) indicators throughout the framework that serve to identify areas tied to course work where there is evidence of Conceptual Framework and goals assessment. The five indicators are: Knowledge Base (CF1), Technological Learning Environment (CF2), Communication (CF3), Assessment (CF4), and Effective Field Experience with Diverse Learners (CF5)

SHSU Dispositions and Diversity Proficiency (DDP) Standards

CF: Conceptual Framework

	DDP	CF	CAEP	NCATE
1.	Demonstrates an attitude of reflection and thoughtfulness about professional growth and instruction.	2	1.1 (InTASC #10) & 3.3	1. c., 1.g., & 4. c
2.	Demonstrates a commitment to using technology to create an authentic learning environment that promotes problem-solving and decision making for diverse learners.	2	1.5 & 3.4	1.b, 4.a., & 6.d.
3.	Practices ethical behavior and intellectual honesty.	3	1.1(InTASC #9) , 3.3, & 3.6	1.g. & 4.a.
4.	Demonstrates thoughtfulness in communication and an awareness and appreciation of varying voices.	3	3.1, 3.3	4.a.
5.	Demonstrates knowledge of second language acquisition and a commitment to adapting instruction or programs to meet the needs of culturally and linguistically diverse learners.	3 & 5	1.1 (InTASC #2)	4.a.& 4.d.
6.	Demonstrates ability to be understanding, respectful and inclusive of diverse populations.	3 & 5	3.1	4.a. & 4.d.
7.	Uses assessment as a tool to evaluate learning and improve instruction for all learners	4	1.1 (InTASC #6)	1.d. & 4.a.
8.	Demonstrates a commitment to literacy, inquiry, and reflection.	1 & 4	1.1 (InTASC #9) & 3.3	1. d, 1. g., & 4.a.
9.	Leads diverse learners to higher level thinking in cognitive, affective, and/or psychomotor domains.	5	1.1 (InTASC, & #2)	4.a.
10.	Demonstrates a commitment to adapting instruction or programs to meet the needs of diverse learners.	5	1.1 (InTASC #2 and #9), & 1.4, 2.3	1.c., 3.c., 4.a., & 4.d.

CAEP: Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (see page 20-21 of CAEP Standards for cross-cutting themes and diversity characteristics)

NCATE: National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education

prescribed courses to all educator preparation student in initial and advanced programs (*please provide additional information for the candidate if the DDP is administered during your course*).

College of Education Information:

Please be advised that the College of Education conducts ongoing research regarding the effectiveness of the programs. You will receive one survey in the final semester prior to graduation regarding the operations of the unit during your time here. A second survey will occur within one year following graduation from or completion of a program, and will be sent to you and to your employer. This survey will focus on the preparation received at SHSU. Please remember that your response to these surveys is critical to SHSU program excellence.

Standards Matrix.

Topic(s)/Objective(s)	Activities/Assignments (including field-based activities)	Measurement (including performance-based)	Standards Alignment TS-ESL Texas Educator Standards/Competencies DDP —Diversity and Disposition Proficiencies CF —Conceptual Framework Indicator TESOL – Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages NETS – ISTE NETS Technology Standards
Demonstrates knowledge of fundamental language concepts	Text readings, journal articles, and discussion questions	Journal article reaction paper rubric, exam	TS – ESL – I, III DDP – 5 CF – 1, 3 TESOL – 1.a, 1.b NETS – 3
Apply knowledge of effective ESL strategies through multiple multicultural presentations.	Prepare and teach ESL lessons to 4-8 learners Text reading, journal articles, Lesson plan accommodations Picture file	Lesson plan rubric; picture file rubric; article presentation rubric	TS – ESL II, III, IV, V DDP – 1, 5, 6, 7 CF – 1, 2, 4, 5 TESOL – 2, 3.a, 3.b, 3.c NETS – 2, 3, 4
Demonstrate effective written communication of thoughts and reflections.	Journal article reaction papers Weekly discussion questions	Journal article reaction paper rubric, discussion question rubric	TS – ESL VII DDP – 3, 4, 5 CF – 1, 3, 4 TESOL – 5.a, 5.b NETS – 5
Examine and evaluate ESL resources reflecting current research and best practices.	Journal article reaction papers Lesson plan accommodations Text reading, Weekly discussion questions	Journal article reaction paper rubric, Lesson plan rubric; discussion question rubric;	TS – ESL III, IV, V, VI DDP – 1, 2, 5, 6 CF – 1, 3, 4, 5 TESOL – 3.a, 3.b, 3.c, 5.a., 5.b NETS – 2, 3, 5
Analyze and develop appropriate classroom activities for ELLs.	Lesson plan accommodations Picture file, Weekly discussion questions, Text readings, journal articles	Lesson plan rubric; picture file rubric, discussion question rubric, Journal article reaction paper rubric	TS – ESL II, III, IV, VI DDP – 2, 5, 8, 9, 10 CF – 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 TESOL – 2, 3.a, 3.b, 3.c, 4.a, 4.b, 4.c NETS – 2, 4
Investigate, compare and contrast L1 and L2 processes.	Observe ESL students in classroom; text readings, Weekly discussion questions	Exam, discussion question rubric	TS - ESL I, III DDP – 1, 4 CF – 1, 5 TESOL – 1.a, 1.b, 2, 5.a NETS – 3, 5
Examine both traditional and alternative assessment strategies for placement and evaluation of ELLs and develop assessment strategy that reflects multicultural understanding.	Observe teacher assessment methods; lesson plan accommodations, weekly discussion questions, text readings	Lesson plan rubric; exam, discussion question rubric	TS – ESL II, VI DDP – 5, 7 CF – 1, 4, 5 TESOL – 2, 4.a, 4.b, 4.c NETS – 2
Demonstrate effective integration of continued language development strategies through content area instruction.	Lesson plan accommodations, picture file, Weekly discussion questions, Text readings, journal articles, journal article reaction paper	Lesson plan rubric; exam, picture file rubric, discussion question rubric, journal article reaction paper rubric	TS –ESL III, IV, V, VI DDP – 5, 6, 7, 9 CF – 1, 2, 4, 5 TESOL – 1.a, 1.b, 3.a, 3.b, 3.c, 4.a, 4.b, 4.c NETS – 2

 NCATE Unit Standards <http://www.ncate.org/documents/standards/NCATE%20Standards%202008.pdf>

<http://www.ncate.org/public/unitStandardsRubrics.asp?ch=4>

State Standards: <http://www.sbec.state.tx.us/SBECOnline/standtest/edstancertfieldlevl.asp>

Web address for **TESOL standards**: <http://www.tesol.org>

Web link on **Educator Preparation Services** site for **Conceptual Framework**: http://www.shsu.edu/~edu_edprep/

*Note: Plan to make time to use the **Sam Houston Writing Center**, located in Wilson 114, for consultation on your written assignments. Writing tutors will work with you one on one to help you generate a draft, organize a draft, or revise a draft of any assignment. You can just drop by to work with a tutor or call 936-294-3680 or email: wctr@shsu.edu*

EXPECTATIONS

Attendance Policy: We will be meeting face-to-face so few times this semester that punctual and perfect attendance is expected. **Each absence will result in the deduction of 5 percentage points from final grade.** Two tardies and/or early departures are equivalent to an absence. In case of an emergency, please contact the professor. Students **MUST** be present to receive credit for in class assignments. **Please see me after class if you come in late so I can change the attendance roster. It will be counted as an absence even if you FORGET to let me know.**

Bibliography

- Abedi, J. (2007). *English language proficiency assessment in the nation: Current status and future practice*. Davis, CA: University of California, Davis: School of Education.
- Akhavan, N. (2006). *Help! My kids don't all speak English: How to set up a language workshop in your linguistically diverse classroom*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- August, D. & Shanahan, T. (2006). *Developing literacy in second-language learners: Report of the National Literacy Panel on Language-Minority Children and Youth*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- August, D. and Hakuta, K. (Eds.). (1997). *Improving schooling for language - minority children: A Research Agenda*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- Baker, C. (2007). *Care and education of young children*. Bristol, PA: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Bialystok, E. (2007). Cognitive effects of bilingualism: How linguistic experience leads to cognitive change, *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 10 (3) , 210-223.
- Coady, M. & Escamilla, K. (2005). Audible voices and visible tongues: Exploring social realities in Spanish speaking students' writing. *Language Arts*, 82(6), 462-472.
- Crawford, J. (1991) *Bilingual education: History politics theory and practice*. Los Angeles, CA: Bilingual Educational Services.
- Cummins, J. (1981). The role of primary language development in promoting educational success for language minority students. In *Schooling and Language Minority Students: A Theoretical Framework*. Los Angeles: Evaluation, Dissemination and Assessment Center, California State University, Los Angeles, 1-33.
- Díaz-Rico, L. (2008). *Strategies for teaching English Language Learners* (2nd ed). Boston: Pearson Education.
- Echevarria, J., & Graves, A. (2006). *Sheltered content instruction: Teaching English language learners with diverse abilities* (3rd ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Echevarria, J., Short, D., & Powers, K. (2006a). *School reform and standards-based education: A model for English-language learners*. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.
- Echevarria, J., Short, D., & Powers, K. (2006b). Teaching practice and the reading growth of first-grade English learners. *The Elementary School Journal*, 107(2). The University of Chicago.
- Echevarria, J., Short, D., & Powers, K. (2008). Making content comprehensible for non-native speakers of English: The *SIOP Model*. *International Journal of Learning*, 14(11), 41–50
- Francis, D.J., Rivera, M., Lesaux, N., Kieffer, M., & Rivera, H. (2006). *Practical guidelines for the education of English language learners*. Portsmouth, NH: Center on Instruction.
- Garcia, E. (2005). *Teaching and learning in two languages: Bilingualism and Schooling in the United States*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Freeman, Y.S. and Freeman, D.E. (2004). *Essential linguistics: What you need to know to teach reading, ESL, spelling, phonics, and grammar*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Freeman, D. and Freeman, Y. (2007). *English language learners: The essential guide (Theory and practice)* New

- York, NY: Scholastic Teaching Resources.
- Genesee, F., Lindholm-Leary, K., Saunders, W. M., & Christian, D. (2006). *Educating English language learners. A synthesis of research evidence*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Gersten, R. Baker, S.K., Shanahan, T., Linan-Thompson, S., Collins, P., & Scarcella, R. (2007). *Effective literacy and English language instruction for English learners in the elementary grades: A practical guide* (NCEE 2007-4011). Washington DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.
- González, N., L. C. Moll, L. C., and Amanti, C., eds. 2005. *Funds of Knowledge: Theorizing Practices in Households, Communities, and Classrooms*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Herrera, S. (2010a). *Biography-driven culturally responsive teaching*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Herrera, S. (2010b). Technology solutions: Solutions for culturally and linguistically diverse (CLD) students. In J. Lever-Duffy & J.B. McDonald, *Teaching and learning with technology* (4th ed. pp.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Herrera, S., Pérez, D., & Escamilla, K. (2010). *Teaching reading to English language learners: Differentiated literacies*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon
- Hiebert, E.H. & Lubliner, S. (2008). The nature, learning, and instruction of general academic vocabulary. In S.J. Samuels & A. Farstrup (Eds.), *What research has to say about vocabulary*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Kieffer, M., Lesaux, N., Rivera, M., & Francis, D. (2009). Accommodations for English language learners taking large-scale assessments: A meta-analysis on effectiveness and validity. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(3), 1168-1201.
- Krashen, S. (1985). *The input hypothesis: Issues and implications*. New York, NY: Longman.
- Lee, O., Luykx, A., Buxton, C. A., & Shaver, A. (2007). The challenge of altering elementary school teachers' beliefs and practices regarding linguistic and cultural diversity in science instruction. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(9), 1269-1291.
- Ovando, C. & Collier, V. (2009). *Bilingual and ESL classrooms: Teaching in multicultural contexts*. Boston, Massachusetts: McGraw-Hill.
- Peregoy, S. F., & Boyle, O. F. (2008). *Reading, writing, and learning in ESL: A resource book for K-12 teachers* (5th ed). New York: Pearson Education.
- Reyes, S. A., & Vallone, T. L. (2008). *Constructivist strategies for teaching English language learners*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press. .
- Samway, K. D. (2006). *When English language learners write: Connecting research to practice, K-8*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Solorzano, R. (2008). High stakes testing: Issues, implications, and remedies for English language learners. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(2), 260-329.
- Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL). (2006). *PreK-12 English Language Proficiency Standards*. Alexandria, VA: Author
- Téllez, K., & Waxman, H. C. (Eds.). (2006). *Preparing quality educators for English language learners. Research, policies, and practices*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Thomas, W., & Collier, V. (2002). *A national study of school effectiveness for language minority students' long-term academic achievement*. Santa Cruz, CA: Center for Research on Education, Diversity & Excellence.
- Verplaetse, L. S. & Migliacci, N. (Eds.) (2008). *Inclusive pedagogy for English language learners*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates