

EDAD 5372 Federal, State, and Local School Law Spring 2018

EDAD 5372 is a required course for Educational Administration Program and Principal Certification.

College of Education Department of Educational Leadership

Instructor: Dr. R. Scott Allen

Phone: 713-942-1960 Cell: 713-702-2338

E-mail: rsa002@shsu.edu

Office Hours: By appointment

Day and time the class meets: ONLINE (12:00 am Mondays-11:59 pm Saturdays)

Location of class: This course will be ONLINE. (You must be able to access Blackboard through www.shsu.edu). Additionally, all communications will be through your SHSU email account to my SHSU email account OR turned in to the appropriate in-box online.

Course Description:

The course provides a study of the legal basis of school control; the relation of the federal government to public education, the state as the fundamental legal unit in organization and administration of a state system of schools; the district as the unit of local school control; and legal duties and responsibilities of the state and local boards of education.

IDEA Objectives: In this course, our focus will be on these major objectives (as assessed by the IDEA course evaluation system):

Essential: Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions).

Important: Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems.

Textbooks:

Kemerer, F. & Walsh, J. (2014). *The educator's guide to Texas school law*. 8th ed. University of Texas Press TX: Austin.

Alexander, K. & Alexander, M. D. (2012). *American public school law*. 8th ed. Belmont CA: Wadsworth.

Brown, G. & Irby, B. (2001). *The principal's portfolio*. 2nd ed. Corwin Press CA: Thousand Oaks.

TK20 Account required for the Educational Administration Program

Tk20 is an electronic toolkit used by candidates to provide evidence that they have mastered state and professional standards for the profession.

https://tk20.shsu.edu/

Course Format: Teaching strategies will include online discussions, case study presentations, field experiences, and two exams.

Course Objectives:

- 1. To understand the relationship between and among the federal, state and local levels of government in the area of education.
- 2. To understand the "Common Law Heritage" of the American legal system and its implications for education.
- 3. To understand the legal definitions, concepts, procedures, court decisions, etc. pertaining to the administration of schools.
- 4. To possess insight into the major problems associated with school operation from a legal point of view.
- 5. To gain a working understanding of public school law in order to make ethical, legal and moral decisions without excessively burdening or employing professional legal counsel or other schools district legal resources.

Major Student Goals:

- 1. The student will demonstrate familiarity with terminology in the field of law as it applies to education.
- 2. The student will articulate an understanding of basic legal concepts through examination and discussion of relevant court cases.
- 3. The student will demonstrate critical analysis by differentiating factual and evidentiary data.
- 4. The student will comprehend and utilize basic legal tests applied by the judiciary in their application to factual situations and cases.
- 5. The student will demonstrate skill in developing educational policy which meets legal standards.
- 6. The student will gain an awareness of major legal resources and demonstrate the ability to use those resources.
- 7. The student will gain an in-depth understanding of landmark cases in various areas of school law.

Course Requirements:

Active Legal Course Participation: There will be weekly discussion threads that will serve as our in-class discussion opportunities. You will be expected to prepare two cases and serve as one of the moderators of the discussion along with other students that have cases on your topic. Participation in these threads will be evaluated according to strict connection of the cases briefed by students and the reading for the week. By Monday of each topic week, each student briefing a case that week will post a copy of the brief for students to review and according to a prescribed format. Many cases will be assigned for briefing during every week during the summer session. The discussion, moderated by each person assigned to brief the case, will occur from Tuesday to Saturday of each week. Do not wait until the end of the week to participate in discussions. Participation should be ongoing Tuesday to Saturday.

All other students are expected to participate in the discussion a minimum of two (2) times **per topic (i.e., Schools and the State, Students and the Law, Discipline, Teachers and the Law, etc.)**AND INCLUDE OTHER ISSUES WITHIN THE SAME TOPICS assigned in that week's reading.
(There are more cases on the brief list than we will have students available to write briefs. However, they are all included in your reading and thus should be part of the thread.)

This is the forum for legal conversation and never an opportunity for personal district stories or personal opinion. It is essential that this activity deal with, as Sergeant Friday would say "just the facts." In summary, the discussion thread will represent a comprehensive synthesis and analysis of the issues and cases of the weekly topics.

The professor also reserves the right to inject weekly reading quizzes if necessary as part of your Active Legal Course Participation.

<u>Embedded Course Activities 17-20</u>: The Department of Educational Leadership and Counseling requires embedded field-based activities for each course leading to Principal Certification. The specific activities are listed on page 9 of the syllabus and each student is required to submit responses to the prompts electronically.

Embedded Activities are to be completed and summarized by the candidates. The purpose of the activities is to give the candidates an inside look at issues that impact the decisions of school leaders. This activity supports the design of comprehensive professional growth plans (ELCC 2.4) by focusing on observations and reflective practice. To enlist the support of your school administrators, you will need to explain that you are working on your principal certification and need their support. If you need to modify any of the Embedded Activities, please discuss with your professor. We want to be sensitive to the constraints of building administrators.

Format: The Brown and Irby reflection cycle (Found in the *Principal Portfolio*). **Goal:** describe the goal of the activity; **Artifact:** describe any documents that you reviewed or created for the activity; **Describe** what you observed or did; **Analyze** your actions and skills needed; **Appraise** your actions and skills; **Transform:** discuss how you would change or do things differently.

Page formatting: About one page, no more than 2 pages, 12-point font, 1 inch margins. Spacing: 1.5 or double. Remember, professors may increase the minimum requirements and provide guiding questions for the students to use in completing the EFAs. Deadlines are listed with this the course schedule. A cover page is not required and each embedded activity should be one or two pages maximum.

<u>Legal Briefs</u>: Each student will prepare two carefully paraphrased and thoroughly researched briefs according to the format for writing a case brief (located in Module 1) on assigned cases pertaining to the course material and assigned reading. These will be assigned during the first two weeks and samples will be available (Blackboard Document Sharing Section).

You will be assigned two briefs that will cover different topics (i.e., Schools and the State, Students and the Law, Discipline, Teachers and the Law, etc.). Your case assignments will be right beside to your name on the spreadsheet.

You will present your case brief to the class in the INSTUCTOR CREATED DISCUSSIONS under the correct topic and answer any questions that your colleagues might have in their responses. It is important that you provide as much information regarding the background (important details of the case prior to being heard at the current court) and basis in your briefs so that your colleagues get the essence of the holding (court's ruling).

Follow the format suggestions when writing your case's holding.

<u>Mid-Term Examination</u>: Each student will complete an online Mid-Term Examination of course material from the first half of the semester.

<u>Final Examination</u>: Each student will complete an online examination over the last half of the semester course material.

Course Evaluation:

While the final course grade is the **sole judgment of the professor**, the following scale will be used as a guide.

Attendance/Participation/Discussion Board 10% Embedded Course Activities 10%

Legal Briefs 20% (1st Brief 10%; 2nd Brief 10%)

Mid-Term Examination 30% Final Examination 30%

Late Assignment Policy: There are NO PROVISIONS for any late work.

Time requirement

You are expected to participate a minimum of two (2) times per case per topic (i.e., Schools and the State, Students and the Law, Discipline, etc.) and your discussion contributions are expected to be at the graduate level by analyzing and synthesizing the topics and cases of the week.

Topic Calendar – <u>Alexander Chapters are coded to the 8th Edition and Walsh and Kemerer are coded to the 8th edition. If you use different editions....the topics may be correlated to <u>DIFFERENT chapters!</u> Proceed with caution!</u>

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS

<mark>Week</mark>	DATES	TOPICS	ASSIGNMENTS
1	1/17	Introduction	Blackboard
2	1/22	Structure of Law and Educational	Reading Alexander 1, 2, 3, 4
		Governance and Sources of Law	Walsh and Kemerer Ch. 1
		Plyler v. Doe 457 US202 (1982)	
3	1/29	Schools and the State	Alexander Ch. 6
		Pierce v. Society of Sisters 268 US 510	Walsh and Kemerer Ch. 2 and 7
		(1925)	
		Citizens for Better Education v. Goose	
		Creek C.I.S.D. 719 SW2d 350 (1986)	
		Shoffner v. Goose Creek C.I.S.D. Docket	
		Number 331-Rio-694 (Commissioner of	
		Education 1995); & Clear Creek Educators	
		Association TSTA/NEA v. Clear Creek I.S.D.	
		Docket Number 059-R8-1193	
		(Commissioner of Education 1995)	

4	2/5	Students and the Law Hartzell v. Connell 679 P.2d 35 (1984) Berg v. Glen Cove City School District 853 F. Supp. 651 (1994) Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Community School District 393 US 503 (1969) Bethel School District No. 409 v. Fraser 478 US 675 (1986) Hazelwood School District v.Kuhlmeier 484	Alexander Ch. 8, 9, and 10 Walsh & Kemerer Ch. 2
		US 260 (1988) Sherman v. Community School District 21 980 F.2d 437 (1992), cert. Denied, 508 US 950 (1993) Palmer v. Merluzzi 868 F.2d 90 (1989) Beeson v. Kiowa County School District RE- 1 567 P.2d 801 (1977)	
5		Discipline Goss v. Lopez 419 US 565 (1975) New Jersey v. T.L.O. 469 US 325 (1985) Gonzales v. McEuen 435 F. Supp. 460 (1977) Ingraham v. Wright 430 US 651 (1977) Board of Education of Rogers Arkansas v. McCluskey 458 US 966 (1982) Ryan G. v. Navasota I.S.D. Docket No. 113R5- 598 (Commissioner of Education, 1999)	

6	2/19	Teachers and the Law	Alexander Ch. 16 & 17 Walsh
		Dodge v. Board of Education 302 US 74 (1937)	& Kemerer Ch. 6
		Beilan v. Board of Public Education 357 US 399 (1958)	
		Board of Regents of State Colleges v. Roth408 US 564 (1972)	
		Pickering v. Board of Education of Township High School District 205 391 US 563 (1968)	
		Mt. Healthy City School District Board of Education v. Doyle 429 US 274 (1977)	
		Collins v. Faith School District #46-2 574 N.W.2d 889 (1998) Milkovich v. Loraine Journal Co. 497 U.S. 1	
		(1990)	
7	2/26	Texas Employment and Contract Law Skinner v. Railway Labor Executives Association East Hartford Education Association v. Board of Education of Town of East Hartford 562 F.2d 838 (1977) Gaylord v. Tacoma School District No. 10	Alexander Ch. 15 & 18 Walsh and Kemerer Ch. 4 & 5
		559 P.2d 1340 (1977), cert. Denied, 434 US 879 (1977) Gillett v. Unified School District 605 P.2d	
		105 (1980) Geller v. Markham 635 F.2d 1027 (1977), cert. Denied, 451 US 945 (1981)	
8	3/5-6	Mid-Term Organization/Prep/Writing	
	3/7-8	Mid-Term Examination – Online	

9	3/19	The Instructional Program	Alexander Ch. 7		
		Sandlin v. Johnson 643 F.2 nd . 1027 (1981)			
		Meyer v. Nebraska 262 U.S. 390 (1923)	Embedded Activity #18 Due by 11:59 pm on 3/24.		
		Andrews v. Weber 108 Ind. 31 (1886)			
		Steirer v. Bethlehem Area School District			
		987 F.2d 989 (1993)			
		Board of Education, Island Tree Union Free			
		School District No. 26 v. Pico 457 U.S. 853			
		(1982)			
		Epperson v. State of Arkansas 393 U.S. 97 (1968)			
		Keefe v. Geanakos 418 F.2d. 359 (1969)			
		Cornwell v. State Board of Education 314			
		F.Supp. 340 et al. (1969)			
10	3/26	Special Education	Alexander Ch. 11		
	3,20	Board of Education of	Walsh and Kemerer Ch. 3		
		the Hendrick Hudson Central School	Walsh and Remerci Ch. 5		
		District <i>v.</i> Rowley <i>458 US 176 (1982</i>			
		Clyde Clyde K. v. Puyallup SchoolDistrict 35			
		F. 3d 1396 (1994)			
		Texas Texas City Independent School			
		District v. Jorstad 752 F.Supp. 231 <i>(1990)</i>			
		Thomas v. Atascadero Unified School			
		District 662 F.Supp 376 (1987)			
		Grube v. Bethlehem Area School District			
		550 F.Supp. 418 (1982)			

11	4/2	Educators and School District Liability Wood v. Strickland 420 US 308 (1975) Carey v. Piphus 435 US 247 (1978) Franklin v. Gwinnett County Schools 503 US 60 (1992) Peter W. v. San Francisco Unified School District 131 Cal. Rptr. 854 (Cal. St. App. 1976) Cannon v University of Chicago 441 US 677 (1979) Barr v. Bernhard 562 S.W. 2d. 844 (Tex. 1978) Hopkins v. Spring 736 S.W. 2d. 617 (Tex. 1987) Johnson v. School District of Millard 573 N.W. 2d 116 (1998) Spears v. Jefferson Parish School Board 646 So.2d 1104 (1994) Wagenblast v. Odessa School District No. 105-157-166J 110 Wash 2d 845 (1988)	Alexander Ch. 12 & 14 Walsh and Kemerer Ch. 10 Embedded Activity #19 Due by 11:59 pm on 4/7.
12	4/9	School Desegregation Plessy v. Ferguson 163 US 537 (1896) Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka 347	Alexander Ch. 20

13	4/16	Privacy (FERPA Emphasis)	Alexander Ch. 9 and 13
		Point Isabel Independent School District v. Hinojosa 797 S.W. 2d 176 (Tex. App.— Corpus Christi 1990)	Walsh & Kemerer Ch. 9 Embedded Activity #20 Due by 11:59 pm
		Cox Enterprises v. Board of Trustees of Austin Independent School District 704 S.W 2d 956 (1986)	on 4/21.
		Kylie H. v. Marble Falls Independent School District Dkt. No. 068-R5-198 (Comm'r Educ. 1998)	
		Roberts v. Houston Independent School District 788 S.W.2d 107 (Tex. App.— Houston [1st Dist.] 1990)	
		Ryans v. Gresham 6 F.Supp.2d 595 (E.D. Tex. 1998)	
		Guzman v. Harlandale Independent School District Dkt. No. 485-R2-895 (Comm'r Educ. 1999)	
		Owasso Independent School Dist. No. I011 v. Falvo 534 U.S. 426 (2002) Smith v. Holley 827 S.W.2d 433 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 827 S.W.2d 433 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1992)	
		Merriken v. Cressman 364 F.Supp. 913 (E.D. Penn. 1973) Fay v. South Colonie Central School District	
		802 F.2d 21 (1986)	

14	4/23	Religion in the Public Schools Engel v. Vitale 370 US 421 (1962) School District of Abington Township v. Schempp & Murray v. Carlett 374 US 203 (1963) Lee v. Weisman 505 US 577 (1992) Edwards v. Aguillard 482 US 578 (1987) Santa Fe Independent School District v. Doe, 530 U.S. 290 (2000)	Walsh & Kemerer Ch. 7 Alexander Ch. 5
15	4/30 OPTIONAL	School Finance Edgewood I.S.D. v. Kirby, 777 S.W.2d 391 (Tex. 1989) Edgewood I.S.D. v. Kirby 804 S.W.2d 491 (Tex. 1991) Edgewood I.S.D. v. Meno 893 S.W.2d 450 (Tex. 1995) Edgewood I.S.D. v. Meno 917 S.W.2d 717 (Tex. 1995) West Orange-Cove Consolidated ISD v. Neeley, 176 S.W.3d 746 (2005), Rose v. Council for Better Education 790 S.W.2d 186 (1989) Carrollton/Farmers Branch I.S.D. v. Edgewood I.S.D. 826 S.W.2d 489 (Tex. 1992)	Finance Handout – You might want to read the online articlecould be a bonus question on the Final Exam!
16	5/7-8	Mid-Term Organization/Prep/Writing	
	5/9-10	FINAL EXAM Online	

Embedded Course Activities

ASE 572 Federal, State, and Local School Law					
17. Review the faculty or student handbook and the district policy manual regarding child abuse, search and seizure, confidential records, drug abuse, bomb threats, security, and unauthorized personnel on the grounds. Describe what is being done for student and staff safety. What are the most important things you learned from this activity? Why?	9.2 Ensure Safety of Students & Personnel in Emergencies	6.1 Understand Legal, Political, Social, Economic Context			
18. Review the Educator Code of Ethics Purpose and Scope; Definitions, Texas Administrative Code, §247.1 and the Code of Ethics and Standards Practices for Texas Educators, Texas Administrative Code, §247.2. How does the principal ensure that educators comply with educator laws? What are the most important things you learned from this activity? Why?	3.2 Implement Compliance w/ Code of Ethics	5.1 Act with Integrity 5.2 Acts Fairly 5.3 Acts Ethically			

	T	
19. Describe changes to the	9.4 Apply Law to	3.1 Manage the
campus discipline	Support Services	Organization
management process and	3.5 Apply Laws Fairly	6.3 Influence Legal,
practices due to high numbers	3.4 Apply Legal	Political,
and the percentage of	Guidelines	Social,
students who are in-school		Economic
suspension, out-of-school		Context
suspension, and Disciplinary		
Alternative Education		
Program placements.		
20. Describe the principal's	9.2 Ensure Safety of	3.1 Manage the
responsibility for monitoring	Students & Personnel in	Organization
the safety and welfare of the	Emergencies	
school community. Review and	9.3 Develop/Implement	
describe the school's	Procedures for Crisis	
emergency procedures.	Planning	

Professionalism Policy: You are expected to follow professional standards in this course and program and to be professional and courteous with everyone in all of your written communication (i.e., Discussions and Email).

University Policies

☐ SHSU Academic Policy Manual-Students ○ Procedures in Cases

of Academic Dishonesty #810213 o Disabled Student

Policy #811006 o Student Absences on Religious Holy

Days #861001 o Academic Grievance Procedures for

Students #900823

NCATE Accreditation

The Sam Houston State University, College of Education has the distinction of NCATE accreditation since 1954. As an NCATE accredited program, the College of Education ensures that the best-prepared teachers will be in classrooms teaching the next generation of leaders how to solve problems, communicate effectively, and work collaboratively.

In November 2010, NCATE merged with the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC) to become the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP), combining the two premiere accrediting organizations as a single accrediting agency for reform, innovation, and research in educator preparation. SHSU will continue to be NCATE accredited through its next review which occurred in November 2015.

NCATE Standards

CAEP Standards

The Conceptual Framework and Model

The COE Conceptual Framework establishes the shared vision of the college in preparing educators to work with P-12 students through programs dedicated to collaboration in instruction, field experience, and research, the candidates in Sam Houston State University's Educator Preparation Programs acquire the knowledge, dispositions, and skills necessary to create a positive learning environment preparing educators to work with P-12 students. Employing a variety of technologies, candidates learn to plan, implement, assess, and modify instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners. The Conceptual



Framework (CF) incorporates five (5) indicators throughout the framework that serve to identify areas tied to course work where there is evidence of Conceptual Framework and goals assessment. The five indicators are: Knowledge Base (CF1), Technological Learning Environment (CF2), Communication (CF3), Assessment (CF4), and Effective Field Experience with Diverse Learners (CF5)

SHSU Dispositions and Diversity Proficiency (DDP) Standards

CF: Conceptual Framework

CAEP: Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (see page 20-21 of CAEP Standards for cross-cutting themes and diversity characteristics) NCATE: National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education

The Dispositions and Diversity Proficiency (DDP) Standards are administered and evaluated in prescribed courses to all educator preparation student in initial and advanced programs (please provide additional information for the candidate if the DDP is administered during your course).

College of Education Information:

Please be advised that the College of Education conducts ongoing research regarding the effectiveness of the programs. You will receive one survey in the final semester prior to graduation regarding the operations of the unit during your time here. A second survey will occur within one year following graduation from or completion of a program, and will be sent to you and to your employer. This survey will focus on the preparation received at SHSU. Please remember that your response to these surveys is critical to SHSU program excellence.

NCATE Unit Standards

http://www.ncate.org/documents/standards/NCATE%20Standards%202008.pdf http://www.ncate.org/public/unitStandardsRubrics.asp?ch=4

State Standards: http://www.sbec.state.tx.us/SBECOnline/standtest/edstancertfieldlevl.asp

Web link on *Educator Preparation Services* site for *Conceptual Framework*:

http://www.shsu.edu/~edu_edprep/

	DDP	CF	CAEP	NCATE
1.	Demonstrates an attitude of reflection and thoughtfulness about professional growth and instruction.	2	1.1 (InTASC #10) & 3.3	1. c., 1.g., & 4. c
2.	Demonstrates a commitment to using technology to create an authentic learning environment that promotes problem-solving and decision making for diverse learners.	2	1.5 & 3.4	1.b, 4.a., & 6.d.
3.	Practices ethical behavior and intellectual honesty.	3	1.1(InTASC #9), 3.3, & 3.6	1.g. & 4.a.
4.	Demonstrates thoughtfulness in communication and an awareness and appreciation of varying voices.	3	3.1, 3.3	4.a.
5.	Demonstrates knowledge of second language acquisition and a commitment to adapting instruction or programs to meet the needs of culturally and linguistically diverse learners.	3 & 5	1.1 (InTASC #2)	4.a.& 4.d.
6.	Demonstrates ability to be understanding, respectful and inclusive of diverse populations.	3 & 5	3.1	4.a. & 4.d.
7.	Uses assessment as a tool to evaluate learning and improve instruction for all learners	4	1.1 (InTASC #6)	1.d. & 4.a.
8.	Demonstrates a commitment to literacy, inquiry, and reflection.	1 & 4	1.1 (InTASC #9) & 3.3	1. d, 1. g., & 4.a.
9.	Leads diverse learners to higher level thinking in cognitive, affective, and/or psychomotor domains.	5	1.1 (InTASC, & #2)	4.a.
10.	Demonstrates a commitment to adapting instruction or programs to meet the needs of diverse learners.	5	1.1 (InTASC #2 and #9), & 1.4, 2.3	1.c., 3.c., 4.a., & 4.d.